

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,288	10/03/2005	Andreas Winter	282595US8XPCT	2081	
OBLON SPIN	7590 09/09/201 YAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET		TRUVAN, LEYNNA THANH			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2435		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/09/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/552,288	WINTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leynna T. Truvan	2435		

	Leynna T. Truvan	2435							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 F4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fen. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explication date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 'th 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i  (a) They raise new issues that would require further col  (b) They raise the issue of pay matter (see NOTE help	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	Od Con attached Nation of Nan Co.		DTOL 204)						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 13-15</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13.  Other:									
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-11 and 13-15 remains rejected under Soloman, et al. In the final rejection (6/16/10), the claimed invention recites modifying read requests and/or modifying write commands. The "and/or" permitted the broader interpretation of prior art reading onto one or the other. Such as Soloman reads on the claimed modifying write commands in respect to the data within the protected data areas to a recordable data medium or other storage so that the written data is modified to be useless.

The current amendment (8/16/10) now deletes the claimed modifying read requests and only requires the claimed modifying write commands. Thus, would alter the reading and application of prior art (i.e. Soloman) and would require a further search since the current amendment only rectle modifying write commands. Therefore, the current amendment is not entered.